



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/547,588	04/11/2000	Mohamed K. Diab	MASIMO.056DC1	4577
20995	7590 12/10/2002			
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK	
IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			3.M
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/547,588	DIAB ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Eric F Winakur	3736
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 011	November 2002 .	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 31-52 is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5)🖂	Claim(s) 44,47-49,51 and 52 is/are allowed.		
6)🖂	Claim(s) 31-34,37-39 and 45 is/are rejected.		
7)🖂	Claim(s) 35,36,40-43,46 and 50 is/are objected	d to.	
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14)[] A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

5) [

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/547,588

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on 01 November 2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/547,588 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 40 and 46 are objected to because of the following informalities: With regard to claim 40, the phrase "said a ratio" (line 10) should read "a ratio"; the term "and" should be inserted between "waveform" and "said second" (line 11). With regard to claim 46, the term "in" (line 9) should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 31, 32, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With regard to claim 31, the phrase "said spectral domain waveform" is inconsistent with its antecedent. With regard to claim 37, the phrase "said unknown pulserate" is inconsistent with its antecedent.

Double Patenting

5. Claim 50 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 49. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is

Application/Control Number: 09/547,588

Art Unit: 3736

proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 31 34, 37 39, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baker, Jr. et al. Baker, Jr. et al. teach a monitor that uses an adaptive comb filtering technique to determine a subject's pulse rate from an optical plethysmography signal, as described in column 12, line 52 column 18, line 13. Transformation from a time-domain to a specral (frequency) domain, identification of fundamental frequency components and harmonic frequency components, and estimation of pulserate from the identified frequency values are disclosed.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art teaches identification of pulse rate from spectral domain analysis of a plethysmograph signal as discussed above. However, the prior art does not teach or suggest analysis of signals produced with light of a first and second frequency, with classification of spectral peaks based on ratios corresponding to portions of light at the

Art Unit: 3736

first frequency and second frequency. Further, the prior art does not teach analysis based on a "center of mass" calculation.

- 9. Claims 44, 47 49, 51, and 52 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 35 and 36 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 40 43 and 46 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F Winakur whose telephone number is 703/308-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 703/308-3130. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/305-3590 for regular communications and 703/305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0858.

Eric F Winakur Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736